Conservative SPDEs as Fluctuating Mean Field Limits of Stochastic Gradient Descent

Vitalii Konarovskyi

Bielefeld University

SFB-Kolloquium — Potsdam

joint work with Benjamin Gess and Rishabh Gvalani

Table of Contents

Supervised Learning

- Having a large sets of data $\{(\theta_i, \gamma_i),\ i \in I\}$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ i.i.d., one needs to find a function $f : \Theta \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\theta_i) = \gamma_i$.

Supervised Learning

- Having a large sets of data $\{(\theta_i, \gamma_i),\ i \in I\}$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ i.i.d., one needs to find a function $f : \Theta \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\theta_i) = \gamma_i$.
- Usually one approximates f by

$$f_n(\theta; x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi(\theta, x_k),$$

where $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, are parameters which have to be found.

Example: $\Phi(\theta, x_k) = c_k \cdot h(A_k\theta + b_k), \quad x_k = (A_k, b_k, c_k)$

Supervised Learning

- Having a large sets of data $\{(\theta_i, \gamma_i),\ i \in I\}$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ i.i.d., one needs to find a function $f : \Theta \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\theta_i) = \gamma_i$.
- Usually one approximates f by

$$f_n(\theta; x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi(\theta, x_k),$$

 where $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, are parameters which have to be found.

 Example: $\Phi(\theta, x_k) = c_k \cdot h(A_k\theta + b_k), \quad x_k = (A_k, b_k, c_k)$

- We measure the distance between f and f_n by the **generalization error**

$$\mathcal{L}(x) := \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_\vartheta |f(\theta) - f_n(\theta; x)|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Theta |f(\theta) - f_n(\theta; x)|^2 \vartheta(d\theta),$$

 where ϑ is the distribution of θ_i.

Stochastic gradient descent

Let $x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d.

Stochastic gradient descent

Let $x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d.

The parameters x_k, $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ can be learned by stochastic gradient descent

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) - \nabla_{x_k} \left(\frac{1}{2} |f(\theta_i) - f_n(\theta_i; x)|^2 \right) \Delta t$$

where Δt – **learning rate**, $t_i = i\Delta t$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d.,

Stochastic gradient descent

Let $x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d.

The parameters x_k, $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ can be learned by stochastic gradient descent

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) - \nabla_{x_k} \left(\frac{1}{2} |f(\theta_i) - f_n(\theta_i; x)|^2 \right) \Delta t$$
$$= x_k(t_i) - (f_n(\theta_i; x) - f(\theta_i)) \nabla_{x_k} \Phi(\theta_i, x_k(t_i)) \Delta t$$

where Δt – **learning rate**, $t_i = i \Delta t$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d.,

Stochastic gradient descent

Let $x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d.

The parameters x_k, $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ can be learned by stochastic gradient descent

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_k(t_{i+1}) &= x_k(t_i) - \nabla_{x_k} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left| f(\theta_i) - f_n(\theta_i; x) \right|^2 \right) \Delta t \\
&= x_k(t_i) - \left(f_n(\theta_i; x) - f(\theta_i) \right) \nabla_{x_k} \Phi(\theta_i, x_k(t_i)) \Delta t \\
&= x_k(t_i) + \left(\nabla F(x_k(t_i), \theta_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \nabla_{x_k} K(x_k(t_i), x_l(t_i), \theta_i) \right) \Delta t
\end{aligned}
$$

where Δt – **learning rate**, $t_i = i\Delta t$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d.,
$F(x, \theta) = f(\theta)\Phi(\theta, x)$ and $K(x, y, \theta) = \Phi(\theta, x)\Phi(\theta, y)$.

Stochastic gradient descent

Let $x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d.

The parameters x_k, $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ can be learned by stochastic gradient descent

$$\begin{aligned} x_k(t_{i+1}) &= x_k(t_i) - \nabla_{x_k} \left(\frac{1}{2} |f(\theta_i) - f_n(\theta_i; x)|^2 \right) \Delta t \\ &= x_k(t_i) - (f_n(\theta_i; x) - f(\theta_i)) \nabla_{x_k} \Phi(\theta_i, x_k(t_i)) \Delta t \\ &= x_k(t_i) + \left(\nabla F(x_k(t_i), \theta_i) - \langle \nabla_x K(x_k(t_i), \cdot, \theta_i), \nu^n_{t_i} \rangle \right) \Delta t \end{aligned}$$

where Δt – **learning rate**, $t_i = i\Delta t$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d., $\nu^n_t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n \delta_{x_l(t)}$,
$F(x, \theta) = f(\theta)\Phi(\theta, x)$ and $K(x, y, \theta) = \Phi(\theta, x)\Phi(\theta, y)$.

Stochastic gradient descent

Let $x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d.

The parameters x_k, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ can be learned by stochastic gradient descent

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_k(t_{i+1}) &= x_k(t_i) - \nabla_{x_k} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left| f(\theta_i) - f_n(\theta_i; x) \right|^2 \right) \Delta t \\
&= x_k(t_i) - (f_n(\theta_i; x) - f(\theta_i)) \nabla_{x_k} \Phi(\theta_i, x_k(t_i)) \Delta t \\
&= x_k(t_i) + \left(\nabla F(x_k(t_i), \theta_i) - \langle \nabla_x K(x_k(t_i), \cdot, \theta_i), \nu^n_{t_i} \rangle \right) \Delta t \\
&= x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i) \Delta t
\end{aligned}
$$

where Δt – **learning rate**, $t_i = i\Delta t$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d., $\nu^n_t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n \delta_{x_l(t)}$, $F(x, \theta) = f(\theta)\Phi(\theta, x)$ and $K(x, y, \theta) = \Phi(\theta, x)\Phi(\theta, y)$.

Continuous Dynamics of Parameters

Recall that $x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d., Δt – learning rate, $t_i = i\Delta t$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d.

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\Delta t, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

where $\nu^n_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k(t)}$.

Continuous Dynamics of Parameters

Recall that $x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d., Δt – learning rate, $t_i = i\Delta t$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d.

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\Delta t, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

where $\nu^n_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k(t)}$.

Considering the empirical distribution $\nu^n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k}$, one has

$$f_n(\theta; x) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \Phi(\theta, x_k) = \langle \Phi(\theta, \cdot), \nu^n \rangle.$$

Continuous Dynamics of Parameters

Recall that $x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d., Δt – learning rate, $t_i = i\Delta t$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d.

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\Delta t, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

where $\nu^n_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k(t)}$.

Considering the empirical distribution $\nu^n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k}$, one has

$$f_n(\theta; x) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \Phi(\theta, x_k) = \langle \Phi(\theta, \cdot), \nu^n \rangle.$$

The expression for $x_k(t)$ looks as an Euler scheme for

$$dX_k(t) = V(X_k(t), \mu_t)dt,$$

$$\mu_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{X_k(t)}, \quad V(x, \mu) = \mathbb{E}_\theta V(x, \mu, \theta).$$

Convergence to deterministic SPDE

If $x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d. and $\Delta t = \frac{1}{n}$, then

$$d(\nu_t^n, \mu_t) = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

where μ_t solves

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla\left(V(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t\right) dt$$

with

$$V(x, \mu) = \mathbb{E}_\theta V(x, \mu, \theta) = \nabla F(x) - \langle \nabla_x K(x, \cdot), \mu \rangle$$

and

$$F(x) = \mathbb{E}_\theta f(\theta)\Phi(\theta, x), \quad K(x, y) = \mathbb{E}_\theta[\Phi(\theta, x)\Phi(\theta, y)].$$

[Mei, Montanari, Nguyen '18]

Convergence to deterministic SPDE

If $x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d. and $\Delta t = \frac{1}{n}$, then

$$d(\nu_t^n, \mu_t) = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

where μ_t solves

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla\left(V(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t\right) dt$$

with

$$V(x, \mu) = \mathbb{E}_\theta V(x, \mu, \theta) = \nabla F(x) - \langle \nabla_x K(x, \cdot), \mu \rangle$$

and

$$F(x) = \mathbb{E}_\theta f(\theta)\Phi(\theta, x), \quad K(x, y) = \mathbb{E}_\theta[\Phi(\theta, x)\Phi(\theta, y)].$$

[Mei, Montanari, Nguyen '18]

$\Longrightarrow$ The mean behavior of the SGD dynamics can then be analysed by considering μ_t.

Main Goal

Problem. After passing to the deterministic gradient flow μ, all of the information about the inherent fluctuations of the stochastic gradient descent dynamics is lost.

Main Goal

Problem. After passing to the deterministic gradient flow μ, all of the information about the inherent fluctuations of the stochastic gradient descent dynamics is lost.

Goal: Propose an SPDE which would capture the fluctuations of the SGD dynamics and also would give its better approximation.

Classical SDE for SGD Dynamics

Stochastic gradient descent

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\Delta t$$

Classical SDE for SGD Dynamics

Stochastic gradient descent

$$\begin{aligned} x_k(t_{i+1}) &= x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\Delta t \\ &= x_k(t_i) + \mathbb{E}_\theta V(\ldots)\Delta t + \sqrt{\Delta t}\,(V(\ldots) - \mathbb{E}_\theta V(\ldots))\sqrt{\Delta t} \end{aligned}$$

Classical SDE for SGD Dynamics

Stochastic gradient descent

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\Delta t$$
$$= x_k(t_i) + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_\theta V(\dots)}_{=V(x_k(t_i),\nu^n_{t_i})} \Delta t + \underbrace{\sqrt{\Delta t}}_{=\sqrt{\alpha}} \underbrace{(V(\dots) - \mathbb{E}_\theta V(\dots))}_{=G(x_k(t_i),\nu^n_{t_i},\theta_i)} \sqrt{\Delta t}$$

Classical SDE for SGD Dynamics

Stochastic gradient descent

$$\begin{aligned} x_k(t_{i+1}) &= x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\Delta t \\ &= x_k(t_i) + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_\theta V(\dots)}_{=V(x_k(t_i),\nu^n_{t_i})} \Delta t + \underbrace{\sqrt{\Delta t}}_{=\sqrt{\alpha}} \underbrace{(V(\dots) - \mathbb{E}_\theta V(\dots))}_{=G(x_k(t_i),\nu^n_{t_i},\theta_i)} \sqrt{\Delta t} \end{aligned}$$

is the Euler-Maruyama scheme for the SDE

$$dX_k(t) = V(X_k(t), \mu^n_t)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}(\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}})_k(X(t))dB(t), \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

where $\mu^n_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i(t)}$, $\Sigma_{k,l}(x) = \mathbb{E}_\theta G(x_k, \mu, \theta) \otimes G(x_l, \mu, \theta)$ and B – n-dim Brownian motion.

Classical SDE for SGD Dynamics

Stochastic gradient descent

$$\begin{aligned} x_k(t_{i+1}) &= x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\Delta t \\ &= x_k(t_i) + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_\theta V(\dots)}_{=V(x_k(t_i),\nu^n_{t_i})}\Delta t + \underbrace{\sqrt{\Delta t}}_{=\sqrt{\alpha}}\underbrace{(V(\dots) - \mathbb{E}_\theta V(\dots))}_{=G(x_k(t_i),\nu^n_{t_i},\theta_i)}\sqrt{\Delta t} \end{aligned}$$

is the Euler-Maruyama scheme for the SDE

$$dX_k(t) = V(X_k(t), \mu^n_t)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}(\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}})_k(X(t))dB(t), \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

where $\mu^n_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i(t)}$, $\Sigma_{k,l}(x) = \mathbb{E}_\theta G(x_k, \mu, \theta) \otimes G(x_l, \mu, \theta)$ and B – n-dim Brownian motion.

$\rightsquigarrow$ $\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is $dn \times dn$ matrix!

SDE Driven by Inf-Dim Noise for SGD Dynamics

Stochastic gradient descent

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\Delta t$$
$$= x_k(t_i) + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_\theta V(\dots)}_{=V(x_k(t_i),\nu^n_{t_i})}\Delta t + \underbrace{\sqrt{\Delta t}}_{=\sqrt{\alpha}}\underbrace{(V(\dots) - \mathbb{E}_\theta V(\dots))}_{=G(x_k(t_i),\nu^n_{t_i},\theta_i)}\sqrt{\Delta t}$$

is the Euler-Maruyama scheme for the SDE

$$dX_k(t) = V(X_k(t), \mu^n_t)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}\int_\Theta G(X_k(t), \mu^n_t, \theta)W(d\theta, dt), \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

where $\mu^n_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i(t)}$, W – white noise on $L_2(\Theta, \vartheta)$.

[Gess, Kassing, K. '23]

Stochastic Mean-Field Equation

$$dX_k(t) = V(X_k(t), \mu_t^n)dt + \sqrt{\alpha} \int_\Theta G(X_k(t), \mu_t^n, \theta) W(d\theta, dt), \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

where $\mu_t^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i(t)}$, W – white noise on $L_2(\Theta, \vartheta)$.

[1] $B : C = \sum_{i,j=1}^d B_{i,j} C_{i,j}$

Stochastic Mean-Field Equation

$$dX_k(t) = V(X_k(t), \mu_t^n)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}\int_\Theta G(X_k(t), \mu_t^n, \theta)W(d\theta, dt), \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

where $\mu_t^n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i(t)}$, W – white noise on $L_2(\Theta, \vartheta)$.

Using Itô 's formula, we come to the **Stochastic Mean-Field Equation:**

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (V(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)dt$$

[1] $B : C = \sum_{i,j=1}^d B_{i,j}C_{i,j}$

Stochastic Mean-Field Equation

$$dX_k(t) = V(X_k(t), \mu_t^n)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}\int_\Theta G(X_k(t), \mu_t^n, \theta)W(d\theta, dt), \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

where $\mu_t^n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i(t)}$, W – white noise on $L_2(\Theta, \vartheta)$.

Using Itô 's formula, we come to the **Stochastic Mean-Field Equation:**

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla\cdot(V(\cdot,\mu_t)\mu_t)dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (A(\cdot,\mu_t)\mu_t)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla\cdot\int_\Theta G(\cdot,\mu_t,\theta)\mu_t\, W(d\theta, dt)$$

where $A(x_k, \mu) = \mathbb{E}_\theta G(x_k, \mu) \otimes G(x_k, \mu)$.

[1] $B : C = \sum_{i,j=1}^d B_{i,j} C_{i,j}$

Stochastic Mean-Field Equation

$$dX_k(t) = V(X_k(t), \mu_t^n)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}\int_\Theta G(X_k(t), \mu_t^n, \theta)W(d\theta, dt), \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

where $\mu_t^n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i(t)}$, W – white noise on $L_2(\Theta, \vartheta)$.

Using Itô 's formula, we come to the **Stochastic Mean-Field Equation:**

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (V(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (A(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla \cdot \int_\Theta G(\cdot, \mu_t, \theta)\mu_t\, W(d\theta, dt)$$

where $A(x_k, \mu) = \mathbb{E}_\theta G(x_k, \mu) \otimes G(x_k, \mu)$.

The martingale problem for this equation was considered in
[Rotskoff, Vanden-Eijnden, CPAM, '22]

[1] $B : C = \sum_{i,j=1}^d B_{i,j}C_{i,j}$

Related Works

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (V(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (A(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt - \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla \cdot \int_\Theta (G(\cdot, \mu_t, \theta)\mu_t)\, W(d\theta, dt),$$

Well-posedness results for similar SPDEs:

- **Continuity equation in the fluid dynamics and optimal transportation** [Ambrosio, Trevisan, Crippa. . .]. There $A = G = 0$.

Related Works

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (V(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (A(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt - \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla \cdot \int_{\Theta} (G(\cdot, \mu_t, \theta)\mu_t)\, W(d\theta, dt),$$

Well-posedness results for similar SPDEs:

- **Continuity equation in the fluid dynamics and optimal transportation** [Ambrosio, Trevisan, Crippa. . .]. There $A = G = 0$.
- **Stochastic nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation** [Coghi, Gess '19]. The covariance A has more general structure (i.e. $A - \mathbb{E}G \otimes G \geq 0$) but the noise is finite-dimensional.

Related Works

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (V(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (A(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt - \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla \cdot \int_\Theta (G(\cdot, \mu_t, \theta)\mu_t)\, W(d\theta, dt),$$

Well-posedness results for similar SPDEs:

- **Continuity equation in the fluid dynamics and optimal transportation** [Ambrosio, Trevisan, Crippa. . .]. There $A = G = 0$.
- **Stochastic nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation** [Coghi, Gess '19]. The covariance A has more general structure (i.e. $A - \mathbb{E}G \otimes G \geq 0$) but the noise is finite-dimensional.

Related Works

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (V(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (A(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt - \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla \cdot \int_\Theta (G(\cdot, \mu_t, \theta)\mu_t)\, W(d\theta, dt),$$

Well-posedness results for similar SPDEs:

- **Continuity equation in the fluid dynamics and optimal transportation** [Ambrosio, Trevisan, Crippa. . .]. There $A = G = 0$.
- **Stochastic nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation** [Coghi, Gess '19]. The covariance A has more general structure (i.e. $A - \mathbb{E}G \otimes G \geq 0$) but the noise is finite-dimensional.
- **Particle representations for a class of nonlinear SPDEs** [Kurtz, Xiong '99]. The equation has more general form but the initial condition μ_0 must have an L_2-density w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure.

Related Works

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (V(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (A(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt - \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla \cdot \int_\Theta (G(\cdot, \mu_t, \theta)\mu_t)\, W(d\theta, dt),$$

Well-posedness results for similar SPDEs:

- **Continuity equation in the fluid dynamics and optimal transportation** [Ambrosio, Trevisan, Crippa. . .]. There $A = G = 0$.
- **Stochastic nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation** [Coghi, Gess '19]. The covariance A has more general structure (i.e. $A - \mathbb{E}G \otimes G \geq 0$) but the noise is finite-dimensional.
- **Particle representations for a class of nonlinear SPDEs** [Kurtz, Xiong '99]. The equation has more general form but the initial condition μ_0 must have an L_2-density w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure.

The results from [Kurtz, Xiong] can be applied to our equation if μ_0 has L_2-density!

Table of Contents

Wasserstein Distance

Let (E, d) be a Polish space, and for $p \geq 1$ $\mathcal{P}_p(E)$ be a space of all probability measures ρ on E with

$$\int_E d^p(x, o)\rho(dx) < \infty.$$

Wasserstein Distance

Let (E, d) be a Polish space, and for $p \geq 1$ $\mathcal{P}_p(E)$ be a space of all probability measures ρ on E with

$$\int_E d^p(x, o)\rho(dx) < \infty.$$

For $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{P}_p(E)$ we define the **Wasserstein distance** by

$$\mathcal{W}_p^p(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \inf\left\{\mathbb{E}d^p(\xi_1, \xi_2) : \quad \xi_i \sim \rho_i\right\}$$

Higher Order Approximation of SGD

Stochastic Mean-Field Equation:

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (V(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (A(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla \cdot \int_\Theta G(\cdot, \mu_t, \theta)\mu_t \, W(d\theta, dt)$$

where $A(x_k, \mu) = \mathbb{E}_\theta G(x_k, \mu) \otimes G(x_k, \mu)$.

Higher Order Approximation of SGD

Stochastic Mean-Field Equation:

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (V(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (A(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla \cdot \int_\Theta G(\cdot, \mu_t, \theta)\mu_t \, W(d\theta, dt)$$

where $A(x_k, \mu) = \mathbb{E}_\theta G(x_k, \mu) \otimes G(x_k, \mu)$.

Theorem 1 (Gess, Gvalani, K. 2022)

- V, G – Lipschitz cont. and diff. w.r.t. the special variable with bdd deriv.;
- ν_t^n – the empirical process associated to the SGD dynamics with $\alpha = \frac{1}{n}$;
- μ_t^n – a (unique) solution to the SMFE started from

$$\mu_0^n = \nu_0^n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k(0)}$$

with $x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ i.i.d.

Then all $p \in [1, 2)$

$$\mathcal{W}_p(\mathrm{Law}\, \mu^n, \mathrm{Law}\, \nu^n) = o(n^{-1/2}).$$

Quantified Central Limit Theorem for SMFE

Theorem 2 (Gess, Gvalani, K. 2022)

Under the assumptions of the previous theorem, $\eta_t^n := \sqrt{n}\left(\mu_t^n - \mu_t^0\right) \to \eta_t$ where η_t is a Gaussian process solving

$$d\eta_t = -\nabla \cdot \Big(V(\cdot, \mu_t^0)\eta_t + \langle \nabla K(x, \cdot), \eta_t \rangle \mu_t^0(dx) \Big)\, dt - \nabla \cdot \int_\Theta G(\cdot, \mu_t^0, \theta)\mu_t^0 W(d\theta, dt).$$

Moreover, $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\eta_t^n - \eta_t\|_{-J}^2 \leq \frac{C}{n}$.

Quantified Central Limit Theorem for SMFE

Theorem 2 **(Gess, Gvalani, K. 2022)**

Under the assumptions of the previous theorem, $\eta_t^n := \sqrt{n}\left(\mu_t^n - \mu_t^0\right) \to \eta_t$ where η_t is a Gaussian process solving

$$d\eta_t = -\nabla \cdot \Big(V(\cdot, \mu_t^0)\eta_t + \langle \nabla K(x, \cdot), \eta_t \rangle \mu_t^0(dx) \Big)\, dt - \nabla \cdot \int_\Theta G(\cdot, \mu_t^0, \theta)\mu_t^0 W(d\theta, dt).$$

Moreover, $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\eta_t^n - \eta_t\|_{-J}^2 \leq \frac{C}{n}$.

Remark. **[Sirignano, Spiliopoulos, '20]**

For $\tilde{\eta}_t^n := \sqrt{n}(\nu_t^n - \mu_t^0)$

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\tilde{\eta}_t^n\|_{-J}^2 \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\eta}^n \to \eta.$$

CLT for SMFE + CLT for SGD $\implies$ Higher Order Approx.

Note that

$$\mu_t^n = \mu_t^0 + n^{-1/2}\eta + O(n^{-1}).$$

CLT for SMFE + CLT for SGD $\implies$ Higher Order Approx.

Note that

$$\mu_t^n = \mu_t^0 + n^{-1/2}\eta + O(n^{-1}).$$
$$\nu_t^n = \mu_t^0 + n^{-1/2}\eta + o(n^{-1/2}).$$

CLT for SMFE + CLT for SGD $\implies$ Higher Order Approx.

Note that

$$\mu_t^n = \mu_t^0 + n^{-1/2}\eta + O(n^{-1}).$$
$$\nu_t^n = \mu_t^0 + n^{-1/2}\eta + o(n^{-1/2}).$$

Therefore, $\mu^n - \nu^n = o(n^{-1/2})$.

CLT for SMFE + CLT for SGD $\implies$ Higher Order Approx.

Note that

$$\mu_t^n = \mu_t^0 + n^{-1/2}\eta + O(n^{-1}).$$
$$\nu_t^n = \mu_t^0 + n^{-1/2}\eta + o(n^{-1/2}).$$

Therefore, $\mu^n - \nu^n = o(n^{-1/2})$.

$$\sqrt{n^p}\mathcal{W}_p^p\left(\mathrm{Law}(\mu^n), \mathrm{Law}(\nu^n)\right) = \sqrt{n^p}\inf \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\mu_t^n - \nu_t^n\|_{-J}^p\right]$$
$$= \inf \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\sqrt{n}(\mu_t^n - \mu_t^0) - \sqrt{n}(\nu_t^n - \mu_t^0)\|_{-J}^p\right]$$
$$= \mathcal{W}_p^p\left(\mathrm{Law}(\eta^n), \mathrm{Law}(\tilde{\eta}^n)\right) \to 0.$$

Table of Contents

Continuity Equation

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (V\mu_t)dt$$

Continuity Equation

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (V\mu_t)dt$$

$$\Longrightarrow \quad \mu_t = \mu_0 \circ X(\cdot, t),$$

where

$$dX(u,t) = V(X(u,t))dt, \quad X(u,0) = u.$$

[Ambrosio, Trevisan, Lions,. . .]

Continuity Equation

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (V\mu_t)dt$$

$$\Longrightarrow \mu_t = \mu_0 \circ X(\cdot, t),$$

where

$$dX(u,t) = V(X(u,t))dt, \quad X(u,0) = u.$$

[Ambrosio, Trevisan, Lions,...]

The Stochastic Mean-Field Equation was derived from:

$$dX_k(t) = V(X_k(t), \mu_t^n)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}\int_\Theta G(X_k(t), \mu_t^n, \theta)W(d\theta, dt),$$

$$X_k(0) = x_k(0), \quad \mu_t^n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i(t)}.$$

Well-Posedness of SMFE

Theorem 3 **(Gess, Gvalani, K. 2022)**

Let the coefficients V, G be Lipschitz continuous and smooth enough w.r.t. special variable. Then the SMFE

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (V(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (A(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt \\ - \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla \cdot \int_\Theta G(\cdot, \mu_t, \theta)\mu_t W(d\theta, dt)$$

has a unique solution. Moreover, μ_t is a superposition solution, i.e.,

$$\mu_t = \mu_0 \circ X^{-1}(\cdot, t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where X solves

$$dX(u, t) = V(X(u, t), \mu_t)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}\int_\Theta G(X(u, t), \mu_t, \theta)W(d\theta, dt)$$

$$X(u, 0) = u, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

SDE with Interaction

SDE with interaction:

$$dX(u,t) = V(X(u,t),\mu_t)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}\int_\Theta G(X(u,t),\mu_t,\theta)W(d\theta,dt),$$
$$X(u,0) = u, \quad \mu_t = \mu_0 \circ X^{-1}(\cdot,t), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

SDE with Interaction

SDE with interaction:

$$dX(u,t) = V(X(u,t),\mu_t)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}\int_{\Theta} G(X(u,t),\mu_t,\theta)W(d\theta,dt),$$
$$X(u,0) = u, \quad \mu_t = \mu_0 \circ X^{-1}(\cdot,t), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Theorem (Kotelenez '95, Dorogovtsev' 07)

Let V, G be Lipschitz continuous, i.e. $\exists L > 0$ such that a.s.

$$|V(x,\mu) - V(y,\nu)| + |||G(x,\mu,\cdot) - G(y,\nu,\cdot)|||_{\vartheta} \le L\left(|x-y| + \mathcal{W}_2(\mu,\nu)\right).$$

Then for every $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the SDE with interaction has a unique solution started from μ_0.

Definition of Solutions to SMFE

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (V(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (A(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt - \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla \cdot \int_\Theta G(\cdot, \mu_t, \theta)\mu_t W(d\theta, dt)$$

Definition of (weak-strong) solution

A continuous $(\mathcal{F}_t^W)$-adapted process μ_t, $t \geq 0$, in $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a *solution to SMFE* started from μ_0 if $\forall\ \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ a.s. $\forall t \geq 0$

$$\langle \varphi, \mu_t \rangle = \langle \varphi, \mu_0 \rangle + \int_0^t \langle \nabla\varphi \cdot V(\cdot, \mu_s), \mu_s \rangle\, ds + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_0^t \left\langle \nabla^2\varphi : A(\cdot, \mu_s), \mu_s \right\rangle ds + \sqrt{\alpha} \int_0^t \int_\Theta \langle \nabla\varphi \cdot G(\cdot, \mu_s, \theta), \mu_s \rangle\, W(d\theta, ds)$$

SMFE and SDE with Interaction

Lemma

Let X be a solution to the SDE with interaction with $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
Then $\mu_t = \mu_0 \circ X^{-1}(\cdot, t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a solution to the SMFE.

SMFE and SDE with Interaction

Lemma

Let X be a solution to the SDE with interaction with $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $\mu_t = \mu_0 \circ X^{-1}(\cdot, t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a solution to the SMFE.

Definition: We will say that μ_t, $t \geq 0$, is a superposition solution to the Stochastic Mean-Field equation.

SMFE and SDE with Interaction

Lemma

Let X be a solution to the SDE with interaction with $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
Then $\mu_t = \mu_0 \circ X^{-1}(\cdot, t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a solution to the SMFE.

Definition: We will say that μ_t, $t \geq 0$, is a superposition solution to the Stochastic Mean-Field equation.

Corollary

Let V, G be Lipschitz continuous. Then the SMFE

$$d\mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (V(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (A(\cdot, \mu_t)\mu_t)\, dt \\ - \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla \cdot \int_\Theta G(\cdot, \mu_t, \theta)\mu_t W(d\theta, dt)$$

has a unique solution iff it has **only** superposition solutions.

Uniqueness of Solutions to SMFE

- To prove the uniqueness, we show that every solution to the (nonlinear) SMFE is a superposition solution.

Uniqueness of Solutions to SMFE

- To prove the uniqueness, we show that every solution to the (nonlinear) SMFE is a superposition solution.
- We first freeze the solution μ_t in the coefficients, considering the linear SPDE:

$$d\nu_t = -\nabla \cdot (v(t,\cdot)\nu_t)\,dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (a(t,\cdot)\nu_t)\,dt - \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla \cdot \int_\Theta g(t,\cdot,\theta)\nu_t W(d\theta, dt),$$

where $a(t,x) = A(x,\mu_t)$, $v(t,x) = V(x,\mu_t)$ and $g(t,x,\theta) = G(x,\mu_t,\theta)$.

Uniqueness of Solutions to SMFE

- To prove the uniqueness, we show that every solution to the (nonlinear) SMFE is a superposition solution.
- We first freeze the solution μ_t in the coefficients, considering the linear SPDE:

$$d\nu_t = -\nabla \cdot (v(t,\cdot)\nu_t)\,dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (a(t,\cdot)\nu_t)\,dt \\ - \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla \cdot \int_\Theta g(t,\cdot,\theta)\nu_t W(d\theta, dt),$$

  where $a(t,x) = A(x,\mu_t)$, $v(t,x) = V(x,\mu_t)$ and $g(t,x,\theta) = G(x,\mu_t,\theta)$.
- We remove the second order term and the noise term from the linear SPDE by a (random) transformation of the space.

Random Transformation of State Space

We introduce the field of martingales

$$M(x,t) = \sqrt{\alpha}\int_0^t g(s,x,\theta)W(d\theta, ds), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \geq 0.$$

and consider a solution $\psi_t(x) = (\psi_t^1(x), \dots, \psi_t^d(x))$ to the stochastic transport equation

$$\psi_t^k(x) = x^k - \int_0^t \nabla \psi_s^k(x) \cdot M(x, \circ ds).$$

Random Transformation of State Space

We introduce the field of martingales

$$M(x,t)=\sqrt{\alpha}\int_0^t g(s,x,\theta)W(d\theta,ds), \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d, \quad t\geq 0.$$

and consider a solution $\psi_t(x)=(\psi_t^1(x),\dots,\psi_t^d(x))$ to the stochastic transport equation

$$\psi_t^k(x)= x^k-\int_0^t \nabla\psi_s^k(x)\cdot M(x,\circ ds).$$

Lemma **(see Kunita Stochastic flows and SDEs)**

Under some smooth assumption on the coefficient g, the exists a field of diffeomorphisms $\psi(t,\cdot):\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$, $t\geq 0$, which solves the stochastic transport equation.

Transformed SPDE

For the solution ν_t, $t \geq 0$, to the linear SPDE

$$d\nu_t = -\nabla \cdot (v(t,\cdot)\nu_t)\,dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (a(t,\cdot)\nu_t)\,dt - \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla \cdot \int_\Theta g(t,\cdot,\theta)\nu_t W(d\theta, dt),$$

we define

$$\rho_t = \nu_t \circ \psi_t^{-1}.$$

Transformed SPDE

For the solution ν_t, $t \geq 0$, to the linear SPDE

$$d\nu_t = -\nabla \cdot (v(t,\cdot)\nu_t)\,dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}\nabla^2 : (a(t,\cdot)\nu_t)\,dt - \sqrt{\alpha}\nabla \cdot \int_\Theta g(t,\cdot,\theta)\nu_t W(d\theta,dt),$$

we define

$$\rho_t = \nu_t \circ \psi_t^{-1}.$$

Proposition

Let the coefficient g be smooth enough. Then ρ_t, $t \geq 0$, is a solution to the continuity equation[a]

$$d\rho_t = -\nabla(b(t,\cdot)\rho_t)dt, \quad \rho_0 = \nu_0 = \mu_0,$$

for some b depending on v and derivatives of a and ψ.

[a] Ambrosio, Lions, Trevisan,. . .

Comparison in Strong Topology

$x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d., α – learning rate, $t_i = i\alpha$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d.

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\alpha, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

where $\nu^n_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k(t)}$.

Comparison in Strong Topology

$x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d., α – learning rate, $t_i = i\alpha$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d.

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\alpha, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

where $\nu^n_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k(t)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} dX(u,t) &= V(X(u,t), \mu^n_t)dt \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\alpha}\int_\Theta G(X(u,t), \mu^n_t, \theta)W(d\theta, dt), \\ X(u,0) &= u, \quad \mu^n_t = \nu^n_0 \circ X^{-1}(\cdot, t), \end{aligned}$$

where W is a cylindrical Wiener process on $L_2(\Theta, P)$.

Comparison in Strong Topology

$x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d., α – learning rate, $t_i = i\alpha$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d.

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\alpha, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

where $\nu^n_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k(t)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} dX(u,t) &= V(X(u,t), \mu^n_t)dt \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\alpha}\int_\Theta G(X(u,t), \mu^n_t, \theta) W(d\theta, dt), \\ X(u,0) &= u, \quad \mu^n_t = \nu^n_0 \circ X^{-1}(\cdot, t), \end{aligned}$$

where W is a cylindrical Wiener process on $L_2(\Theta, P)$.

$\Longrightarrow$ For $\alpha = \frac{1}{n}$,

$$\mathcal{W}_p(\mathrm{Law}\,\mu^n, \mathrm{Law}\,\nu^n) = o(n^{-1/2}).$$

Comparison in Strong Topology

$x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d., α – learning rate, $t_i = i\alpha$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d.

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\alpha, \quad k \in \{1, \ldots, n\},$$

where $\nu^n_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k(t)}$.

$$dX(u,t) = V(X(u,t), \mu^n_t)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}\int_\Theta G(X(u,t), \mu^n_t, \theta)W(d\theta, dt),$$

$$X(u,0) = u, \quad \mu^n_t = \nu^n_0 \circ X^{-1}(\cdot, t),$$

where W is a cylindrical Wiener process on $L_2(\Theta, P)$.

$\Longrightarrow$ For $\alpha = \frac{1}{n}$, +Quantified CLT for SGD

$$\mathcal{W}_p(\text{Law}\,\mu^n, \text{Law}\,\nu^n) = O(n^{-1}).$$

Comparison in Strong Topology

$x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d., α – learning rate, $t_i = i\alpha$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d.

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\alpha, \quad k \in \{1, \ldots, n\},$$

where $\nu^n_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k(t)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} dX(u,t) &= V(X(u,t), \mu^n_t)dt \\ &\qquad + \sqrt{\alpha}\int_\Theta G(X(u,t), \mu^n_t, \theta)W(d\theta, dt), \\ X(u,0) &= u, \quad \mu^n_t = \nu^n_0 \circ X^{-1}(\cdot, t), \end{aligned}$$

where W is a cylindrical Wiener process on $L_2(\Theta, P)$.

$\Longrightarrow$ For $\alpha = \frac{1}{n}$, +Quantified CLT for SGD

$$\mathcal{W}_p(\mathrm{Law}\,\mu^n, \mathrm{Law}\,\nu^n) = O(n^{-1}) = O(\alpha).$$

Comparison in Weak Topology

$x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d., α – learning rate, $t_i = i\alpha$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d.

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\alpha, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

where $\nu^n_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k(t)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} dX(u,t) &= V(X(u,t), \mu^n_t)dt \\ &\qquad + \sqrt{\alpha}\int_\Theta G(X(u,t), \mu^n_t, \theta) W(d\theta, dt), \\ X(u,0) &= u, \quad \mu^n_t = \nu^n_0 \circ X^{-1}(\cdot, t), \end{aligned}$$

where W is a cylindrical Wiener process on $L_2(\Theta, P)$.

Comparison in Weak Topology

$x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d., α – learning rate, $t_i = i\alpha$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d.

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\alpha, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

where $\nu^n_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k(t)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} dX(u,t) &= V(X(u,t), \mu^n_t)dt \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\alpha}\int_\Theta G(X(u,t), \mu^n_t, \theta)W(d\theta, dt), \\ X(u,0) &= u, \quad \mu^n_t = \nu^n_0 \circ X^{-1}(\cdot, t), \end{aligned}$$

where W is a cylindrical Wiener process on $L_2(\Theta, P)$.

For $U \in \mathcal{C}^4(\mathcal{P}_2)$

$$\sup_{t\leq T} |\mathbb{E}U(\mu^n_t) - \mathbb{E}U(\nu^n_t)| =$$

like in [Li, Tai, E, JMLR, '19] for SGD dynamics.

Comparison in Weak Topology

$x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d., α – learning rate, $t_i = i\alpha$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d.

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\alpha, \quad k \in \{1, \ldots, n\},$$

where $\nu^n_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k(t)}$.

$$dX(u,t) = V(X(u,t), \mu^n_t)dt + \sqrt{\alpha}\int_\Theta G(X(u,t), \mu^n_t, \theta)W(d\theta, dt),$$

$$X(u,0) = u, \quad \mu^n_t = \nu^n_0 \circ X^{-1}(\cdot, t),$$

where W is a cylindrical Wiener process on $L_2(\Theta, P)$.

For $U \in \mathcal{C}^4(\mathcal{P}_2)$, and $n \geq 1/\alpha^{4d}$

$$\sup_{t \leq T} |\mathbb{E}U(\mu^n_t) - \mathbb{E}U(\nu^n_t)| = O(\alpha)$$

like in [Li, Tai, E, JMLR, '19] for SGD dynamics.

Comparison in Weak Topology

$x_k(0) \sim \mu_0$ – i.i.d., α – learning rate, $t_i = i\alpha$, $\theta_i \sim \vartheta$ – i.i.d.

$$x_k(t_{i+1}) = x_k(t_i) + V(x_k(t_i), \nu^n_{t_i}, \theta_i)\alpha, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

where $\nu^n_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k(t)}$.

$$dX(u,t) = V(X(u,t), \mu^n_t)dt - \frac{\alpha}{4}\nabla|V(X(u,t),\mu^n_t)|^2 dt - \frac{\alpha}{4}\langle D|V(X(u,t),\mu^n_t)|^2, \mu^n_t\rangle dt$$
$$+ \sqrt{\alpha}\int_\Theta G(X(u,t), \mu^n_t, \theta)W(d\theta, dt),$$
$$X(u,0) = u, \quad \mu^n_t = \nu^n_0 \circ X^{-1}(\cdot, t),$$

where W is a cylindrical Wiener process on $L_2(\Theta, P)$.

For $U \in \mathcal{C}^4(\mathcal{P}_2)$, and $n \geq 1/\alpha^{4d}$

$$\sup_{t \leq T} |\mathbb{E}U(\mu^n_t) - \mathbb{E}U(\nu^n_t)| = O(\alpha^2)$$

like in [Li, Tai, E, JMLR, '19] for SGD dynamics.

See [Gess, Kassing, K. '23].

Reference

Gess, Gvalani, Konarovskyi,
Conservative SPDEs as fluctuating mean field limits of stochastic gradient descent
(arXiv:2207.05705)

Gess, Kassing, Konarovskyi,
Stochastic Modified Flows, Mean-Field Limits and Dynamics of Stochastic Gradient Descent
(arXiv:2302.07125)

Thank you!